13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

| 1 | Charles L. Post, State Bar No. 160443 | |
|----|---|------------------------|
| | Lukas J. Clary, State Bar No. 251519 | |
| 2 | weintraub tobin chediak coleman grod | in |
| 3 | LAW CORPORATION | |
| 3 | 400 Capitol Mall, 11th Floor | |
| 4 | Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: 916/558-6000 | |
| 4 | Facsimile: 916/446-1611 | |
| 5 | Email: cpost@weintraub.com | |
| | lclary@weintraub.com | |
| 6 | ioiary (to, weintrado.com | |
| | Attorneys for Plaintiff | |
| 7 | California Restaurant Association | |
| | | |
| 8 | | |
| | | |
| 9 | UNITED ST | TATES DISTRICT COURT |
| | | |
| 10 | NORTHERN 1 | DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| | | |
| 11 | | |
| | | |
| 12 | CALIFORNIA RESTAURANT |) Case No. 3:16-cv-0 |

Case No. 3:16-cv-06660-JST ASSOCIATION, a California nonprofit mutual benefit corporation, STIPULATION EXTENDING THE PAGE LIMITS FOR: (1) CALIFORNIA Plaintiff, RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION'S MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND v. AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY CITY OF EMERYVILLE, a California municipal corporation, **INJUNCTION; AND (2) CITY OF** EMERYVILLE'S OPPOSITION TO Defendant. **CRA'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY** INJUNCTION; PROPOSED ORDER

Plaintiff California Restaurant Association ("Plaintiff") and Defendant City of Emeryville ("Defendant") (collectively "the Parties") hereby stipulate and agree that Plaintiff may file a Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction in excess of the twenty-five (25) page limitation set forth in Civil Local Rule 7-4(b), but not to exceed forty (40) pages in length. Plaintiff and Defendant hereby further stipulate and agree that Defendant may file a Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction in excess of the twenty-five (25) page limitation set forth in Civil Local Rule 7-4(b), but not to exceed thirty-five (35) pages in length. This Stipulation is made pursuant to Rules 7-11 and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7-12 of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

There is good cause for the Stipulation to extend the page limit given that this case involves several independent causes of action, each of which involves numerous complex and novel legal issues. Each cause of action invokes constitutional challenges, including challenges under the First (Free Speech), Fifth (Takings), and Fourteenth (Equal Protection) Amendments and Article VI, Clause 2 (Supremacy) of the United States Constitution, along with similar claims invoking the California Constitution. Thus, more than 25 pages are needed for the moving and opposition papers to fully address each cause of action.

The parties also hereby stipulate and agree to extend the deadline for Defendant's responsive pleading to January 31 pursuant to Local Rule 6-1.

Finally, the parties hereby stipulate and agree to attempt to reserve March 9 as the hearing date for Plaintiff's Preliminary Injunction and for Defendant's anticipated Motion to Dismiss. The parties also stipulate to the following briefing schedule for Plaintiff's Preliminary Injunction and for Defendant's Motion to Dismiss:

Opening Briefs: January 31, 2017

Opposition Briefs: February 14, 2017

Reply Briefs: February 21, 2017

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

| Dated: January 10, 2017 | weintraub tobin chediak coleman grodin |
|-------------------------|--|
| | law corporation |

By: /s/ Lukas J. Clary Lukas J. Clary

> Attorneys for Plaintiff California Restaurant Association

Dated: January 10, 2017 Burke, Williams & Sorensen LLP

> By: /s/ J. Leah Castella J. Leah Castella

Attorneys for Defendant City of Emeryville

26

27

28

weintraub tobin chediak coleman grodin law corporation

PROPOSED ORDER

Plaintiff's Motion for Extra Pages pursuant to the Parties' Stipulation is granted. Plaintiff may file a memorandum of points and authorities up to 35 pages in support of its motion for preliminary injunction. Defendant may file a memorandum of points and authorities up to 35 pages in opposition to Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction.

Dated: _January 10, 2017

Hor. Jon S. Tigar